

Adam Zack

From: Fred Klein <freddythek10@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2017 2:53 PM
To: Comp Plan Update
Subject: CP Update - use of FAR terminology

Jim Jonassen has opined: "the proposed methodology for assessing Commercial capacity from historic development FAR (p.15, lines 9-12, p.17, lines5-7) is dubious, at least in Eastsound VC zoning."

I have similar concerns and recommend deletion throughout the CP update of any reference to FAR (Floor Area Ratio) as a means of evaluating existing as well as future development...to me, the KEY CONCERN about the evolution of Eastsound for the next twenty years is the same as that of the past twenty...namely, how to ensure that future development enhances rather than competes with or destroys the aspects of Eastsound's character that the community loves.

This can easily be handled by adherence to, and perhaps strengthening the language of, our siting requirements, building height limitations, and our design standards...absolutely no need in my view to adopt or refer to FAR-based regulations.