

Adam Zack

From: Evelyn F Fuchser <mckin2@centurytel.net>
Sent: Friday, November 2, 2018 12:51 PM
To: Comp Plan Update
Subject: RE: Automatic reply: many of the survey questions were too short to answer correctly

One more thing, as a 20 plus year property owner and resident of the sea view neighborhood. And more importantly out of my concern for the neighbors most closely affected.

I am so terribly disappointed at the destructive nature the industrial zoning as it has applied to development along sea view street in Eastsound.

This street was until recently completed residential. The aero view came in requesting mixed access to the street, the neighborhood was secure in trusting

The provisions of the CUP and development restrictions that guaranteed the street would remain a quiet residentially planned street.

The lots adjoining Seaview at Aero view would remain residential in appearance and indeed the plat was thereby restricted.

Then years later property was sold to the port of Orcas on the north end of Seaview this property is large and in port hands it creates concern that the protection of the residential

Nature of the Seaview block may be destroyed. This is clearly the way to introduce blight into a neighborhood if the residential flavor is lost.

I would have assumed naturally port activities would require alternative routes into and out of the area if indeed port expansion is brought near the residential zones. This along with Essential buffers.

Also clearly the local planners have erred in considering and permitting another 30000 gallon propane I believe H1 and H 3 "High hazard" by the IBC occupancy at the beginning of this neighborhood.

American Planning Association has published reports currently highlighted as well as most jurisdictions understand propane occupancy's associated with these volumes shouldn't co-exist as a new occupant into a residential neighborhood.

Many records exist on this subject internationally. The residents of Eastsound should have been and still be supported in their opposition to such a clearly detracting and property devaluing occupation.

<https://www.planning.org/pas/reports/report25/>

https://specsandcodes.typepad.com/the_code_corner/2013/09/high-hazard-occupancies.html

there are too many reasons to wonder how the heck this happened with so many reasons why is should not have.

There are probably 200 residents living directly on this residential street or gaining access to their residence from it.

Evelyn Fuchser

From: Comp Plan Update <compplancomments@sanjuanico.com>
Sent: Friday, November 02, 2018 10:32 AM
To: Evelyn F Fuchser <mckin2@centurytel.net>
Subject: Automatic reply: many of the survey questions were too short to answer correctly

Thank you for your comments about the Comprehensive Plan update. If you have not done so, you may sign up for public notices about this project at <http://www.sanjuanico.com/list.aspx>. After opening the link, please select Comprehensive Plan update under the News Flash header.

Public hearing drafts and other project materials will be posted on the project website:

<http://www.sanjuanco.com/1079/Comprehensive-Plan-Update>

Please add it to your favorites!

For additional information or questions, please contact:

Linda Kuller, AICP, Planning Manager
(360) 370-7572

Or

Erika Shook, AICP, Director
(360) 370-7571